# Experiences with Effective Microorganisms in disease and pest control in farms and gardens in India

<u>C. Margarita</u> and L. Dengel\_

\_AuroAnnam farm c/o Grace, Auroville 605 101, India, e-mail: <u>margarita@auroville.org.in</u> AuroAnnam, Grace, Auroville 605 101, India, e-mail: <u>lucas@auroville.org.in</u>

#### Abstract

AuroAnnam at Auroville has used EM-fermented plant extract (EM-FPE) from locally available plants – some of which are known to have pest controlling properties - for pest management. In exchange with various parties in India, experience with this method has been gathered. It seems that the pest controlling properties of many plants can be enhanced if fermented with EM. Neem tree leaves form the base of all EM-FPEs. Methodology does not satisfy scientific parameters, but seems to be useful for farmers and gardeners and is encouraging everybody to create one's own preparations. This method of pest control is effective and affordable and based on easily available materials.

Keywords: insect pests, plant diseases, pest control, EM-FPE, Auroville;

### Introduction

#### Indian scenario

With 329 million ha, India is the seventh largest country of the world. However, measured in terms of cultivable area, India is the richest country in the world. India's cultivable area measures 190 million ha, while USA have 177, Russia has 126, China 124, West Europe as a whole 77 million ha. With 59 million ha of irrigated area, India also has the largest irrigated area – China having 53 million ha and USA 21 million ha - and enough irrigation potential to double it (Bajaj and Srinivas, 2001).

As of 2000 India has a population of 1,094 million people and is the second populationbiggest country of the globe. Table 1 compares population in absolute and percentage figures, food grain production in total and per hectare, and volume of food grain available per person, of India, China and USA.

	India	China	USA	year	
Population (in millions)	1,014	1,285	278	2000	
% of world population	16.7	21.2	4.6	2000	
food grains (million tons)	197	397	348	1998	
food grains (kg ha_)	1,600	4,100	5,600	1998	
food grains (kg per capita)	201	314	1272	1998	

Table 1. Population and food grains of India, China and USA

Source: Bajaj & Srinivas (2001)

It has been estimated that agricultural yields in India have over the last seven hundred years decreased. Annual yields of paddy per hectare are estimated to have been 15 to 18 tons between AD 900 and 1200, 20 tons around 1325, 9 tons in 1770, 7.5 tons in 1803, and 5.5 tons in 1993 (Bajaj and Srinivas, 2001). Yield increase from 1950 till today – during the years of the "Green Revolution" - is negligible in comparison to older yield figures.

Indian crops are affected by over 200 major pests, 100 plant diseases, hundreds of weeds, by nematodes, birds, rodents and other animals. Approximately, 30% of the Indian crop yield potential is being lost due to insects, diseases and weeds which in terms of quantity would mean 60 million tons of food grain. The value of the total loss represents about 20% of the gross national agricultural production. Losses of food grains are due to weeds 28%, due to diseases 25%, due to insects 23%, during storage 10%, due to rats 8% and 6% due to other reasons (CPCB, 1986).

In 1991 India used 82 thousands tons of pesticides. In regard to pesticide use per acre India is the sixth biggest consumer in the world. The largest use of pesticides is on a non-food crop i.e. cotton (45%), and the second largest is on paddy (30%). Among South Asian and African countries, India is at present the largest manufacturer of basic pesticide chemicals. Insecticides and fungicides account for 92% of the installed pesticide manufacturing capacity, and the scope for growth of the industry is regarded as large (CPCB, 1986).

#### Auroville

Auroville was founded in 1968 near Pondicherry on the Coromandel Coast of Tamilnadu, India, as an international town dedicated to human unity. It presently has a population of 1,600 people from about thirty nations. Auroville is experimenting in many areas, for example, in appropriate building technologies, sustainable energy, in communal economics and education. It has developed a renowned afforestation programme which has turned barren and eroded land into a forest of increasing diversity of fauna and flora. Auroville cannot claim outstanding achievements, but is appreciated for its courage to experiment with innovate approaches in many fields of life.

In the field of farming and gardening, Auroville is following ecological principles, often at the costs of economic viability. AuroAnnam farm has been started to develop and demonstrate exemplary organic cashew nut cultivation as cashew is the prevailing cash crop of the region on which chemical pesticides are being used indiscriminately.

#### Plants traditionally used for pest control

Indian farmers have been using plant extracts in pest control for centuries. This method of pest control provides an ideal source of low-cost, safe and effective preparations. However, the use of plant products for pest control seems not to be very widespread. Farmers exposed to university-based science and to government-organized advice services have switched over to chemical pesticides. These chemicals cause severe problems to their human users, to beneficial insects and microbes, and to the biosphere at large. And, in spite of them, pests are not being increasingly controlled, but become increasingly more difficult to control (Vijayalakshmi *et al.* 1999). Amongst the traditional sources for plant-based pesticides are, for example, onion, garlic, and tobacco. Some of the plants, for example *Euphorbia tirucalli*, are typically used as fence plants as they are not eaten by stray animals and cattle.

Various plant parts and extracts of the neem tree (*Azadirachta indica*) belong to the most widely used and the most powerful pest controlling agencies. Neem extracts can influence nearly 200 species of insects some of which are pests resistant to chemical pesticides or extremely difficult to control with them. Neem products do not necessarily kill insects and pests – they are not always biocides or pesticides -, but incapacitate them in several other ways, for example by interfering with development and growth of insects, by deterring them to feed on the host plant, or by deterring them from depositing their eggs. Often, the precise effect is unknown (Vijayalakshmi *et al.* 1995).

# Material and methods

As Prof. Teruo Higa has pointed out repeatedly, there is a big discrepancy between the complexity of academic research and its usefulness in practical application, in agriculture as well as in science in general. He wrote: "Anything that was valid, anything that was authentic would not need to be presented in a complex manner, but could be written in clear, lucid and precise terms." (Higa, 1996; Higa, 1998) This was the idea behind the work done and its presentation.

The work with EM-FPE was done on farms and in gardens in Auroville and in several other places in India, in part by academically untrained farmers and gardeners, in part under scientific observation, some by NGOs and some by governmental authorities. Experience was gained and immediately passed on. There was no effort to build in controls or to quantify results with scientifically accepted tools of entomology or agriculture.

In response to suggestions of the biodynamic farming method, in particular of Ehrenfried E. Pfeiffer (Pfeiffer, 1970), plant material for the FPEs was also taken from weeds.

In principle, EM was used to ferment locally growing plant material that was known for its medicinal value and for its pest repelling or pest controlling properties, such as neem and *Calotropis gigantea*. Locally available neem oil is of dubious origin and obtained through questionable processing procedures (Vijayalakshmi *et al.* 1995), therefore only freshly plucked neem leaves and flowers – but no kernels and no oil - were used. All plant material was chopped, immersed with the help of a stone weight in EM solution undergoing extension, and let ferment for about five days under anaerobic conditions. For the production of approximately 20 liters of FPE, the following ingredients were used: 15 liters of water, 3 kg of neem leaves (and flowers), 250 g of each plant material indicated as per table 2, 450 ml of EM stock solution and 450 g of cane jaggery which could be replaced by molasses. Afterwards it was filtered and stored away for use, at least for 90 days.

If, for example, you encounter aphids, mites and stem borer as pests on your crop, you will have to use all the plants mentioned in table 2 which are indicated by "+" under the pest names. If a plant source appears indicated more than once, it is enough to use it once only in the FPE. If the pest is present, sprays should be applied three times per week; subsequently one application is enough as a prophylactic measure.

# **Results and discussion**

Table 2 gives an overview over controlled pests and used plant material. The following pests and diseases were observed to decrease or be repelled or controlled under use of EM-FPE:

In bananas, "black Sigatoka"; in coconut, Eriophyd mite and Rhinozeros beetle; in cashew nut, tea mosquito bug, nut borer, leaf miner, leaf webber, leaf folder, and flower thrips; in vegetables, caterpillars and bugs; in guavas, some fungus – in combination with cow urine; in mangoes, some fungus – in combination with fish meal; in tomatoes and brinjal, bacterial wilt; in orchids, viral, bacterial and fungal diseases; in citrus, gummosis (*Diplodia natalensis*) – in combination with copper sulphate, full recovery; in various fruit trees, *Phytophthora nicotianae* and Anthracnosis (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*). in a rose garden, termites – in combination with ashes and mulching.

From a cardamom plantation in Kerala it has been reported that thrips and shoot borer incidence went down from 80 - 90% to 30% and 10% respectively, and that capsule rot incidence was less than 1%. It appeared that natural pest enemies were encouraged and helped to control the pests.

EM-fermented FPEs from fruits, weeds and plants with known pest repellent properties have been observed to enhance the efficacy of pest control. It seems that EM is capable of extracting the active ingredients from plants and making them available as pest control

- 1. Turmeric (Curcuma longa)
- 3. Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum)
- 5. Custard Apple (Annona squamosa)
- 7. Neem (Azadirachta indica)
- 9. Onion (Allium cepa)
- 11. Aloe (Aloe barbedensis)
- 13. Pongam (Pongamia pinnata)

- 2. Ginger (Zingiber officinale)
- 4. Papaya (*Carica papaya*)
- 6. Vitex (Vitex negundo)
- 8. Calotropis (Calotropis gigantean)
- 10. Garlic (Allium sativum)
- 12. Tulasi (Ocimum sp.)
- 14. Euphorbia (Euphorbia tirucalli)

agents. It would require controlled testing of EM-FPEs versus plant extracts without EM to determine the percentage of altered or improved efficacy.

The obvious advantages of this method for pest control are as follows: The preparations are well biodegradable and ecologically sound. They are effective as a pest control measure and combine this with the additional benefits of EM. Wherever EM is made available at affordable rates, this method will be affordable too. It uses plant resources that are locally available.

Another advantage of the method is that, while plant extracts usually have a very short life span, EM-FPEs keep a pleasant smell and their efficacy for several months. Further, while ordinary extracts are required in big quantities, EM-FPEs are effective in comparatively small quantities. Again, the assessment of quantities and quantity differences will necessitate controlled studies.

# Conclusions

In the preparation of EM-FPE using locally available plants with known pest controlling properties, an easily available, effective and affordable pest control method is being found that can be taught to any farmer and gardener. In order to quantify its effect and compare it with other pest control measures and to assess and quantify its cost-effectiveness, controlled studies will be required.

# Acknowledgements

We thank everybody who through his work and report of observations has contributed to the data presented in this paper, in particular Jeff Goodchild of Discipline farm, Auroville.

# References

Bajaj, J. and Srinivas, H.D. (2001). Timeless India – Resurgent India. Centre for Policy Studies, Chennai, 95 pp.

Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) (1986), Minimal National Standards Pesticide Manufacturing and Formulation Industry. COINDS, New Delhi, 68 pp.

Higa, T. (1996). An Earth Saving Revolution. Tokyo, 336 pp.

Higa, T. (1998), An Earth Saving Revolution II. Tokyo, 365 pp.

Pfeiffer, E.E. (1970). Weeds and what they tell. Kimberton, USA, 94 pp.

Pradhan, S. (1969). Insect Pests of Crops. National Book Trust, New Delhi, 198 pp.

Vijayalakshmi, K., Radha, K.S., and Shiva, V. (1995). Neem: A user's manual. New Delhi, 96 pp.

Vijayalakshmi, K., Subhashini, B. and Shivani Koul (1999). Plants in Pest Control. Series of Booklets, Centre for Indian Knowledge Systems, Chennai.

Table 2.

Range of pest contro	blled	<u>Host plants</u>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
Common name	Scientific name		
America boll worm	Helicoverpa armigera	Maize, cotton, tobacco, tomatoes, legumes, vegetables	++ + + +
Army worm	Spodoptera litura	maize, rice, citrus, vegetables, legumes, crucifers	+++++++++
Aphids	All species	Legumes, cucurbits, citrus, etc.	+++ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$
Bacterial diseases			+ + +
Black bugs	Scotinophara spp.	Cultivated rice, wild rice	+ +
Brown leaf spot of rice	Helminthosporium oryzae	Cultivated rice, wild rice	+ + + +
Bruchids		Stored pulses	+ +
Castor caterpillar	Achaea janatha	Castor, rose, pomegranate	+ +
Caterpillars			+ $+$ $+$ $+$
Cockroach	Periplaneta americana		+
Citrus leaf miner	Phyllocnistis citrella	Citrus	+ + +
Colorado beetle	Leptinotarsa decemlineata		+ +
Coffee green scale	Coccus viridis	Coffee	+ + +
Cotton boll worms	Pectinophora gossypiella	Cotton	+
Cotton semi-looper	Anomis flava	Cotton	+ +
Cotton stainer	Dysdercus cingulatus	Cotton	+ + + +
Desert locust	Schistocera gregaria	Beans, general	+
Diamond backmoth	Plutella xylostella		+ + +
False codling moth	Cryptophlebia leucotreta		+ +
Fruit flies		Cucurbits, mango, guava, loquot, etc.	+ +
Fungal diseases			+ + +
Grasshopper surface	Chrotogonus spp	Cotton, pulses, millets, etc.	+ +
Green bugs			+ +
Green leaf hopper	Nephotettix virescens	Rice, wild grasses	+ + + +
Hairy caterpillar	Amsacta moorei/Euproctis lu	nata	+ +
House fly	Musca domestica		+ + +
Khapra beetle	Trogoderma granarium	Storage cereals and peanuts	+ + + +

### <u>Host plants</u>

#### 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

Leaf curl virus	Viral disease	Vegetables	+ + +
Lesser grain borer	Rhizophertha dominica	Storage grains & cassava, flour, meal products	+ ++ +
Maize stem borer	Chilo partellus	Maize	+ + +
Mango anthracnose	Colletotrichum gloeoporioides	Mango	+ +
Mites	Tetranychus sp.	Citrus, tomato, rice, sugarcane, brinjal, papaya, jasmine	+ + + +
Moles			+ +
Mosquito	Anopheles culicifacies		+ + +
Pest of fruits			+ + + +
Pod borers	Heliothis armigera	Pulses	+ +
Potato tuber moth	Phthorimaea operculella	Potato	+ + +
Powdery mildew disease	Fungal pathogen	Cucurbits, chilli, bhendi, others vegetables	+ +
Pulse beetle	Callasobruchus chinensis	Stored pulses such as: cowpea, soyabean, red gram	++ $+++$ $+$ $+$
Red ant	Oecophylla smaragdina		+ +
Red flour beetle	Tribolium castaneum	Grains, vegetable powders, oilcakes and nuts	+ + +
Red pumpkin beetle	Aulacophora foveicollis	Pumpkin	+ +
Rice weevil	Sitophilus oryzae	Storage paddy and rice, wheat, barley, maize	+ ++ ++
Rice blast fungi	Pyricularia oryzae	Cultivated rice, wild rice	+ +
Rice brown plant hopper	Nilaparvata lugens	Cultivated rice, wild rice	+ + + +
Rice earhead bug	Leptocorisa acuta	Cultivated rice, wild rice	+ +
Rice grasshoppers	Hieroglyphus banian	Cultivated rice, wild rice	+ +
Rice stem borer	Sciropophaga incertulus	Rice, maize, sugarcane, millet, wild grasses	+ + ++
Rice leaf roller	Cnaphalocrosis medinalis	Cultivated rice, wild rice	+ +
Root knot nematode	Meloidogyne javanica spp	Cotton, sugarcane, chillies, wheat, barley, tea	+++ $++$ $+$ $+$
Slugs			+ +
Snails	Melania scabra		+ +
Sugarcane shoot borer	Chilo infuscatellus	Sugarcane	+ + +
Termites (white ant)	Coptotermes formasanus		+ +
Thrips	Thrips tabaci	This species infests a whole range of vegetables.	++ + +
Ticks			+ + + +
Tobacco mosaic virus	Marmor tabaci	Chilly	+ +
White fly	Bemisia tabaci	Tobacco, beans, cucurbits, potato, sunflowers, cotton	++ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$

- 1. Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*)
- 2. Ginger (Zingiber officinale)
- 3. Tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*)
- Papaya (*Carica papaya*)
  Custard Apple (*Annona squamosa*)
- 6. Vitex (Vitex negundo)
- 7. Neem (*Azadirachta indica*)
- 8. Calotropis (*Calotropis gigantea*)
- 9. Onion (*Allium cepa*)
- 10. Garlic (*Allium sativum*)
- 11. Aloe (Aloe barbedensis)
- 12. Tulasi (Ocimum sp.)
- 13. Pongam (*Pongamia pinnata*)14. Euphorbia (*Euphorbia tirucalli*)